


*the*  
**PLAIN TRUTH**  
*a magazine of understanding*

**ASTRONAUTS'  
VIEW OF  
EARTH**



Streaking to the moon,  
U. S. astronauts will  
glimpse this view of earth  
hours after lift-off

# What our READERS SAY

## Vietnam

"Your PLAIN TRUTH is about the only real joy I have in Vietnam. I wish it could be a weekly publication! And may I also say that it's a real relief to find a magazine of such quality with absolutely no commercial advertisements to wade through! Talk about a blessing!!"

Andy T. S.,  
Now stationed in Vietnam

• *And blessings must be few and far between in Vietnam. Thanks to one more of the brave young men who must face a sickening task not of his creation.*

## College Is a RIOT

"I am a beginning freshman at the University of Missouri. . . The other night the lights in the dorm where I reside were knocked out by a storm. It was shocking the way all the students went wild and ran like maniacs all over the dorm in the dark. Some were planning a panty-raid on the girls' dorm. A few days later I received the September PLAIN TRUTH and saw the article, 'College is a RIOT' — how true!"

Richard M. K.,  
Columbia, Missouri

"I am a Ugandan student in Leningrad. It was only recently that I came across a copy of *The PLAIN TRUTH* for July 1967. I was so impressed by the article on 'Why Hundreds Transfer' and so sorry to have missed all the knowledge in the rest of the copies since your magazine came out. Could you please include me on your mailing list?"

Enid N.,  
Leningrad, U.S.S.R.

• *With great pleasure.*

## The Law of Liberty

"In viewing, listening to and reading about campus riots, sit-ins, civil disobedience, burning of the American flag and draft cards, disrespect for elders,

etc., I am constantly reminded of what is written on a bronze plaque set in the left-hand brick gatepost marking the South Gate entrance to my alma mater, Norwich University, Northfield, Vermont, the nation's oldest and highest-ranking private military collegiate institution. It reads, 'Obedience of the Law is Liberty.' If only one would respect the laws of Christ and the land, there would be far more peace in this troubled world of ours."

Henry H.,  
Arnold, California

• *Yes — if only ONE would respect the laws of Christ. . .*

## Law Enforcement

"I served for nearly thirty-two years as a police officer. I have served in every position from the bottom to the top, and the last eighteen years as Chief of Police. I hope there will be a much needed change in law enforcement in the near future, because law enforcement officers have been fighting a losing battle for many years. If there were more people in the world fighting on the side of law enforcement as you have been, a change could be expected some time in the near future."

W. T., Petersburg,  
Virginia

## It's the Print!

"I have not very good eyesight and if the print were not so beautifully clear I would not be able to read it. I am 88 years old, and it means so much for me to receive your PLAIN TRUTH."

Alice Ie S., Torino, Italy

"I was interested in the first copy of *The PLAIN TRUTH* I read, and particularly impressed with the booklet you offered on marriage as it is today. When the second issue of the magazine arrived I was even more impressed with the

*(Continued on page 38)*

## the PLAIN TRUTH

a magazine of understanding

December, 1968

VOL. XXXIII

NO. 12

Circulation: 1,500,000 Copies

Published monthly at Pasadena, California; Watford, England; and North Sydney, Australia, by Ambassador College. French edition published monthly at Pasadena, California; Dutch and German editions at Watford, England; Spanish edition at Big Sandy, Texas. © 1968 Ambassador College. All rights reserved.

### EDITOR

HERBERT W. ARMSTRONG

### EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Garner Ted Armstrong

### MANAGING EDITOR

Herman L. Hoeh

### SENIOR EDITOR

Roderick C. Meredith

### Associate Editors

Albert J. Portune

David Jon Hill

*Regional Editors:* U. K.: Raymond F. McNair; Aust.: C. Wayne Cole; S. Africa: Ernest Williams; Germany: Frank Schnee; Philippines: Gerald Waterhouse; Switzerland: Colin Wilkins; Latin America: Enrique Ruiz.

*Contributing Editors:* Gary L. Alexander, Dibar K. Apartian, Robert C. Boraker, William F. Dankenbring, Charles V. Dorothy, Jack R. Elliott, Vern L. Farrow, Gunar Freibergs, Robert E. Gentet, Paul W. Kroll, Ernest L. Martin, Gerhard O. Marx, L. Leroy Neff, Richard F. Plache, Richard H. Sedliacik, Lynn E. Torrance, Eugene M. Walter, Basil Wolverton, Clint C. Zimmerman.

James W. Robinson, *Copy Editor*

Paul W. Kroll, *Layout Editor*

*News Bureau:* Gene H. Hogberg, *Director*; Dexter H. Faulkner, Donald D. Schroeder, *Assistants*; Aline Dunlap, P. A. George, Velma J. Johnson, Karl Karlov, Paul O. Knedel, David Price, Rodney A. Repp, Charles P. Vorhes, W. R. Whitehart.

*Photographers:* Larry Altergott, Lyle Christopherson, Howard A. Clark, Frank Clarke, Jerry J. Gentry, Ian Henderson, John G. Kilburn, Victor Kubik, Salam I. Maidani, Jeremiah D. Ortiguero, Boyd M. Wells, Jr.

*Art Department:* Arthur A. Ferdig, *Director*; William S. Schuler, *Assistant Director*; Eleanor C. Barrow, Donald R. Faast, Daryl E. Lanigan, Roy Lepeska, Robert McGuinness, James A. Quigley, Joy Stiver, Andrew C. Voth, Terry D. Warren, Monte Wolverton.

Albert J. Portune, *Business Manager*

*Circulation Managers:* U. S. A.: John H. Wilson; U. K.: Charles F. Hunting; Canada: Dean Wilson; Australia: Gene R. Hughes; Philippines: Arthur Docken; South Africa: Michael Bousfield; Latin America: Louis Gutierrez.

YOUR SUBSCRIPTION has been paid by others. Bulk copies for distribution not given or sold.

ADDRESS COMMUNICATIONS to the Editor at the nearest address below:  
United States: P.O. Box 111, Pasadena, California 91109.

Canada: P.O. Box 44, Station A, Vancouver 1, B. C.

United Kingdom and Europe: BCM Ambassador, London, W.C. 1, England.

South Africa: P.O. Box 1060, Johannesburg, Australia and Southeast Asia: P.O. Box 345, North Sydney, NSW 2060, Australia.

New Zealand: P.O. Box 2709, Auckland 1. The Philippines: P.O. Box 2603, Manila.

SECOND CLASS POSTAGE paid at Pasadena, California.

Entered as SECOND CLASS matter at Manila Post Office on March 16, 1967.

Registered in Australia for transmission by post as a book.

BE SURE TO NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY of any change in your address. Please include both old and new address. IMPORTANT!

# Personal from the Editor

**M**Y EYE CAUGHT a feature story, on an inner page, in the morning newspaper. It was about one of these "odd" religious sects. Just about everything in religion is a bit "queer," strange, off-beat, fanatical, if it is different from that to which WE are accustomed.

Of course we never stop to think that, to people who had never heard of "our" particular religious beliefs and customs, ours — regardless of what it is — would seem strange, odd, perhaps ridiculous.

I am reminded of the old-fashioned Quaker who said to his wife, "Martha, does thee know it seems to me that just about everybody in the world — except me and thee — is a little queer. And, does thee know, Martha, sometimes it seems to me that even THEE is just a bit queer!"

In Montana, and even spreading into parts of South Dakota, Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan, is a little-known sect which is causing other people in those parts "great concern," and even alarm. They are a German-speaking, medieval-type communal group known as the Hutterites. What seems to cause the great alarm is the fact that these "queer" people are reported to be the most prolific people on earth.

A colony of 400 of them migrated from the Russian Ukraine in 1874, and in 94 years have contributed to the population explosion by increasing their number to 17,000 Hutterites today.

And what's wrong about them?

What if they do multiply by increasing their population at the rate of 4% a year, while the rest of the country increases at only 1%? What if they do average 12 children to a family? What's wrong about that?

Well, sociologists researching into the situation report, PLENTY seems to be wrong — in the eyes of the *other* people of these areas.

One man summed it up, saying they'd

just like to "get rid of these Hoots."

Yes, but WHY? What's BAD about them?

"We just don't approve of their odd beliefs," seemed to be the common sentiment. Besides, they're buying up all the good land around. And what's wrong about that? They pay for it, don't they? Yes, but "we just don't like their odd beliefs."

Now to me, *that* seemed to be a strange belief — to have such dislike for another's belief that one would deny him his right to it!

What's injuring the "worldly" neighbors about these beliefs? Are they working hardship on the rest of the community? Are they criminal; do they molest the others?

Well, came one answer, "they don't pay taxes." Oh, so they just violate the law of the land by refusing to pay taxes? Well, no. When I read further to see what was criminal about this, I found that the law does not require them to pay taxes, because they are a COMMUNAL organization. No one of them owns private property.


So they do conform to the laws? Yes, it seems they do. Then would you not think the neighbors would blame the laws instead of the people who obey them? And I think they would — "*but* WHY do they have to believe that crazy religion?" The further I read, the more evident it became that all that was hurting the other people in these parts was RELIGIOUS PREJUDICE AND BIGOTRY.

Here were more charges against these religious people: They bury their dead in pine boxes. I wondered how that stepped on the rights of their persecutors. They bury them on their own land. I couldn't see why that should do harm to the other people. Their babies are delivered by their own midwives. Now THAT must have done great harm to the NON-Hutterite community!

(Continued on page 47)

## In This Issue:

What our Readers Say . . . . .	Inside Front Cover
Personal from the Editor . . . . .	1
Did She Marry Too Young? . . . . .	2
But Is This World Sick! . . . . .	6
Special Report — India Today . . . . .	9
Radio Log . . . . .	14
Inside Report on Growing Czech Crisis . . . . .	17
What Happened to the Spirit of the Olympics? . . . . .	22
Short Questions from Our Readers . . . . .	29
A Wonderful America Tomorrow? . . . . .	32
The Story of Man . . . . .	33
The Race to the Moon — Who Will Win? . . . . .	41
TV Log . . . . .	46
Advance News Reports Come Alive Today . . . . .	48



N.A.S.A. Photo from U.P.I.

### OUR COVER

The moon at first quarter? No — planet earth seen from altitude of 9,170 nautical miles! This NASA view of earth is what astronauts, streaking to the moon, will see 5 hours after lift-off. View is looking northwest with a portion of Upper Guinea and the Gulf of Guinea in Western Africa on the right horizon.

# Did She MARRY TOO YOUNG?

WHY so many unhappy marriages? WHY is the divorce rate increasing? What are the chances of a teen-age marriage? Is there a BEST AGE for Marriage? Here are the answers, from a wealth of counselling experience.

by Herbert W. Armstrong

**"I** THOUGHT I couldn't live without Archi," said Gloria. "So I got my mother to sign for the license. I am 16, and Archi is 19. Of course my folks didn't want me to get married. But I threatened Mom that if she didn't sign, I'd run away or get pregnant. Now we've been married two months, and nothing is like I thought it would be.


"I thought Archi was a big strong man. But as soon as we were married, it seems like he changed. And he was so good looking and so nice. But now he's so afraid, such a coward. He's lost his job and doesn't know how to get another one. He says I've got to get a job and help. All of a sudden, instead of being so big and strong and handsome, he's just chicken. He's like a scared baby—a child. And he says *I'm immature!*

"Marriage isn't a bit like I supposed. I don't know just what I supposed it was—but more fun—more romance—different. The day after the wedding I knew it was all a big mistake. And it's only been getting worse. Archi's mad at me, and swears at me because I don't know how to cook—I never made a bed in my life till we were

---

Clark — Ambassador College

Girl poses to symbolically illustrate the question of those who have married as teen-agers: "Did We Marry too Young?"



married, and then I found I didn't know how. You know how it is — my Mom did everything for me — washed my clothes, ironed them — even bought them. I never had any responsibility at home. And now all Archi and I do is fight. He scolds me because I don't know how to do anything, and he's all frustrated and says there's nothing in life to live for because he wants sex all the time, and I don't. I get mad at him, because he isn't a bit considerate, and he gets mad at me and says I'm frigid, and he's hit me a few times. He wouldn't give me any money when he had a job, and he doesn't have any now. He leaves me alone evenings, and runs with his boy friends; and he's even dated some old girl friends. I don't want to tell my folks what a mistake I made. What shall I do? Nothing's like I thought it would be!"

#### Fifty-Fifty? No, Less than that!

What are the chances of teen-age marriages?

The idea of the husband being the head of the family — the boss — is out of date. They say it's "fifty-fifty." But it isn't! Nothing ever is. *Someone* always has to take the lead — and when the husband doesn't — thinking it's "fifty-fifty" — the wife will.

But what are the chances of a teen-age marriage?

They are LESS than fifty-fifty! The actual records prove it!

More about that a little later.

Is there a BEST AGE for marriage? THERE IS! More about that later, also.

But first, WHY are so many marriages failing today? WHY the alarming increase in UNHAPPY marriages, broken homes, divorces?

No one reason — there are SEVERAL causes. One of the greatest is marrying TOO YOUNG! The actual RECORDS — the actual FACTS — show that slightly fewer than half of such marriages last successfully.

#### Primary Causes

Whatever happens, there was always a cause. There has been a cause for every effect. In the matter of unhappy and broken marriages there are many causes, but certain ones are primary and basic.

Probably the number one cause of all is the same as the cause for all wars, and all the troubles of humanity — HUMAN NATURE!

Human nature is VANITY. Vanity is self-love — self-centeredness. Human nature is a PULL in the direction of vanity. It tends to consider *self* first, and therefore elevate the self *above* all else. This, in turn, exerts the pulls of lust, greed, envy, jealousy and hatred.

Many times I have explained that SELF is, in a larger sense, what I call empirical — that is, like an empire. It includes what belongs to self, and that to which self feels compatibly allied.

A young man and young woman "fall in love" — or at least *think* they do — and marry. In his mind, she *belongs to him* — is allied to him — and as long as things go well, like part of him. He is the same to her.

But just as soon as things go wrong — perhaps she denies him his desires — perhaps he doesn't give her any money, or fails to be considerate — just as soon as one steps on the other's toes — then the sense of alliance is broken. Then SELF wells up *against* the other. Then the other is no longer PART of self.

Then what?

Then she says, in bitter resentment, "All men are BRUTES!"

Then he says, in equally bitter and frustrated resentment, "She's a frigid woman."

In other words, just what is this thing they usually mistake for LOVE?

It is NOT, really, love. It is, rather, a sort of sense of being enamored — captivated (taken captive by), inflamed with passionate desire, blinded by unreasoning ardor. It is a being impassioned with anticipated rapturous delight. In plainer language, it is an aroused anticipation of what one expects to GET, RECEIVE, to HAVE from the other.

Real and *true* love is basically an OUTGOING CONCERN. It is OUT-going, not IN-coming. When it is IN-coming — as "love" falsely so called is in almost every romance, it is in actual fact, LUST, not truly LOVE!

Regardless of the age at marriage, surely more than 99 percent of all

marriages are based on this FALSE "love."

Just as long as she pleases him — as long as she gives him that delightful sense of enamoration, of luscious enjoyment — he thinks he loves her deeply. In reality, he loves what he receives from her. This, in turn, gives him the feeling that she is a PART of his empirical SELF. He loves SELF — and as long as she is able to remain, in his sight, that allied part of SELF, he will feel that he is in love with her. This works both ways. As long as she is pleased with him — receiving FROM him what she desires — retaining the sense of alliance — she will feel she is in love with him.

This SELF is simply carnality. It is human nature.

What chance, then, does the average marriage have? There are more factors than this one involved. But, so long as each *receives* from the other what satisfies his or her SELF, the marriage will last.

Another basic factor has been religion. Up until World War I the religious teachings of the Western world injected a sort of in-bred conviction that marriage MUST be maintained "until death do us part." A large portion of marriages *endured*, due to this conviction, which today would end in divorce.


Another factor, very prominent as a CAUSE today, is the economic one. Formerly women were mainly dependent on their husbands for economic security. The very factor of SELF-PRESERVATION held them to their husbands — drove them to try to "satisfy him" under circumstances in which the modern wife would rebel. Today, with so many wives being employed, being independent, they are far less impelled to satisfy their husbands against their own desires, and far more ready and willing to leave him and break up their home. It probably wasn't a real HOME, anyway, with the wife employed outside the home.

Another prominent factor is this modern "50-50" idea about "who wears the trousers." When the husband abdicates his responsibility, the wife takes it over. Men were intended, by

# OF ALL TEEN-AGE MARRIAGES



and...



nature, to take the lead and the responsibility. Today, the poor hen-pecked, woman-ruled, "chicken" of a man—more mouse than man—is a ridiculous creature. Today boys grow up more like girls than he-men!

All these—and more—are CAUSES of broken families today. And they are the BAROMETER of the CRASH of civilization—the HANDWRITING ON THE WALL forecasting a death-sentence on modern Society!

Of course another MAJOR cause is

"the new morality" which is simply mis-naming cess-pool immorality!

When society begins to accept pre-marital sex relationships, as promiscuous as participants desire, and adultery is pronounced "good" by psychologists, and when millions "enjoy" the sport of husband-and-wife-swapping parties, marriages are bound to be breaking down—and Society is sounding its own death-knell!

But put all these factors together with the immaturity, the unprepared-

ness, the inexperience of teen marriages, and one should have little difficulty understanding that a teen marriage has less than a 50-50 chance of surviving!

## A BEST AGE For Marriage?

Is there, then, a BEST AGE for Marriage?

There is!

Therefore I repeat what I wrote in an article that appeared some years ago in *The PLAIN TRUTH*, and which forms a chapter in the book, *God Speaks Out on "The New Morality,"* by the same author.

Some time ago I officiated at a wedding in stately Memorial Hall at Ambassador College in England. My next-to-youngest grandson, Richard David Armstrong II, then age two-and-a-half, thought the ceremony so very nice, he said,

"Mommie, I want to get married!"

"Well!" answered his mother, a little shocked—a little amused, "and whom do you want to marry?"

"Karen," replied little Dicky promptly.

"But Karen has just been married. She can't marry anybody else, now."

"Well then," decided Dicky, "I'll marry Sheila." Sheila is a very nice Irish colleen, and was then a student in the college.

"But Sheila is grown up now, and in college," protested "Mommie." "What if she won't have you?"

"Then I'll marry you, Mommie," came the quick decision.

## Marriage Is Not for Children

We may smile at the idea of two- or three-year-olds getting married. It *would* be a bit irregular! Marriage is not for children! Marriage is for ADULTS. Marriage is pretty serious business!

Marriage entails the assuming of very serious responsibilities. Children do not realize this, of course. Marriage is a lot more than romance. It is more than daydreams about a "Prince Charming," or floating around on cloud nineteen, or being in a lover's arms.

But when do we become adults?

Are not boys and girls adults at around age 14? No. Far from it! Let's understand WHY!

### Age for Acquiring Knowledge

Human beings know *nothing* at birth. We have to learn, or be taught — EVERYTHING! Without any knowledge, or with erroneous knowledge, we are helpless — as new-born babes. But there are some things many fail to learn. One of these is the right age for marriage.

Whatever an adult knows, true or false, has come into his mind since birth.

It may sound surprising or incredible, but a person actually learns more during his first year of life than in any succeeding year. If a one-year-old baby could talk plainly with complete and adequate vocabulary, you'd be completely amazed at how much he has learned that first year!

The second year he learns a trifle less than the first, and the third year a little less than the second. Gradually, his capacity for learning decreases year by year, if only slightly. This is hard to believe for the simple reason that a two-year-old *adds* his second-year acquisition to what he learned the first year, the third to that, and so through the years his *total* store of knowledge increases continually.

But a person past 60 cannot learn something new in a field new and strange to him as readily as a young person of 22 or 23. Does this mean that a well-educated man of 60 knows less than a young man of 22? Of course not. Other things being equal, he knows infinitely more — because he has the accumulated knowledge of all those years since age 22 *added* to what he knew then — and he has learned much by *experience*. That is one reason wisdom comes with age!

But a two-and-a-half-year-old cannot delve very deeply into the study of advanced mathematics, philosophy, nuclear fission, business administration, economics, or child rearing. He would have very different ideas on the latter than he probably will have when he becomes a parent!

The first five or six years of life are, so we believe from experience, most profitably spent in learning the basic things of infant and child learning — how to walk, talk, eat, run, and play — knowledge about lots and lots of things.

The little child learns what an automobile is — an airplane — he learns about animals — many things.

He may even be taught to count, and part or all of the alphabet. However, the kind of knowledge taught in school (kindergarten excepted) seems most effectively taught beginning age 6. At this age the child can learn to write, to read, and to spell simple words. In some countries he begins to learn a second language at that age. For the next ten years he acquires gradually all the foundational elementary knowledge, and during the last two of the ten perhaps a bit of preparatory knowledge for higher education.

All these years the normal individual has been learning rapidly. There is a great deal to know before maturity, and he is not mature *yet!* Of course, by age 16, the juvenile may think he *knows it all*. Many, in their own minds, know more than Dad or Mom. You see, what they do not yet know, they don't *know* that they don't know! But there is still much to learn.

But by age 16 the average normal young person of good mind is ready to *begin* a little more advanced study into more solid fields.

### When Bodies Mature

But along in these early and mid-teen-age years, usually 12 to 14, the physical body suddenly speeds up its growth and development. The teen-ager at this point sprouts up much taller within a single year, with *bodily* changes from child to man or woman.

Suddenly the young person feels "grown up" — adult. He usually does not realize that at this stage the body makes a rather sudden leap toward maturity, *while the mind makes no corresponding advance!* The mind continues on at only the same year-to-year *gradual* development. *The mind is still more child than adult.* Its interests are still mostly "having fun," games, entertainments. Sexual maturity is suddenly reached, long in advance of mental, emotional, and spiritual maturity.

A human being is not a mere body. The married state needs maturity of mind, emotions, and spiritual qualities as much as physical adulthood.

A boy or girl is physically able to

become a father or mother years before he or she is qualified to assume the *responsibilities* of parenthood.

But, suddenly becoming taller and physically developed, the boy or girl *feels* mature. A new awareness of the opposite sex is present. What the child of this age does not yet know, I repeat, he usually fails utterly to realize.

The attraction of the other sex acts as a magnet. The girl dreams of her Prince Charming, desire is awakened in the boy to hold an attractive girl in his arms.

The girl often falls in love with love, a certain boy being the focal point of her fantasy. Of course she only sees this particular boy as she imagines him to be, not as he really is. She is dead sure she is in love. And no one can awaken her from this entrancing dream. There are many facts of reality about this puppy-love affair of which she is totally unaware.

But, again, what she doesn't know that her parents see so plainly, she simply doesn't know that she doesn't know! She simply has to outgrow it! The very *fact* that she is not mature enough to recognize her immaturity is proof that she is still too immature for marriage.

At this stage, the parents have a problem on their hands, and need great wisdom to deal properly with it.

I repeat, marriage is not for children.

### The Preparatory Years

But when does a child become an adult? When is one ready for marriage?

Marriage is in itself a career. One is not ready to enter upon any profession or career until after full preparation. This *preparation* may be divided, roughly, into three stages. First, that of infancy, preparing the child for school. Second, elementary and preparatory schooling prior to, thirdly, more advanced education and specialized training for the adult life's work.

There are really three stages, roughly, of mental development that parallel these stages of preparation. First, the change from babyhood to boy- or girlhood around age six. Then the mind as a rule has absorbed enough elementary and semi-mature knowledge by age 16

(Continued on page 46)

hurdles, set the kind of example that all other athletes should have followed.

A European writer asked: "Wouldn't you say that whites are treated better than Negroes?" Davenport bristled. "I didn't come here to talk about race problems. I came to race."

One Negro boxer requested that Mr. Brundage present him with his medal.

But these good examples do not save the spirit of the Olympics when there are so many sickening examples.

We need to remember that man's beautiful dream of furthering world peace through athletic competition has not worked. It *cannot* work until human nature is changed.

Man's record proves that whether war games or Olympic games, whether Paris peace talks or non-proliferation treaties, *man's efforts all record the same imperfect performances.*

But man doesn't know the source of knowledge that would help him understand his own failures. There is a true source that reveals that neither by sports, work, nor war can man produce peace on earth. Incredible as it may seem, it is man's own nature that prevents him from achieving peace and world cooperation—the spirit of the Olympics.

But there is a solution. There is coming a time when peace and cooperation will prevail.

Write for our free booklet *THE WONDERFUL WORLD TOMORROW—What it WILL Be Like.* It is full of pleasant surprises.

## BEST AGE FOR MARRIAGE

(Continued from page 5)

to *begin* more mature thinking and learning. Age 16 is a crucial year in mental development.

Prior to age 16 the average youth has little awareness of the seriousness of life, of world conditions, of human problems or the purposes of life. In our American public school system, he enters senior high school, or the last two years of preparatory school at about this age.

But the mind does not really mature, on the average, until age 25. At age 25 a more definite adulthood of mind, atti-

### TELEVISION

*"The WORLD TOMORROW"*

KWHY — Los Angeles — Channel 22, 8:30 p.m. Sun.

KNTV — San Jose, Calif. — Channel 11, 12 noon Sun.

\*KAIL — Fresno, Calif. — Channel 53, 8:30 p.m. Thurs.

\*KVOS — Bellingham, Wash. — Channel 12, 3:30 p.m. Sat. (Starts Dec. 28.)

\*KCND — Pembina, N. Dak. — Channel 12, 1:30 p.m. Sat., 3 p.m. Sun. (Starts Dec. 29.)

KLTV — Tyler, Texas — Channel 7, 5 p.m. Mon., 10:30 p.m. Thurs.

KTAL — Texarkana-Shreveport — Channel 6, 12:30 p.m. Sun.

ZFB-TV — Hamilton, Bermuda — Channel 8, 5:30 p.m. Sun.

\*CFCF — Montreal, Que. — Channel 2, 2 p.m. Sun.

\*CFRN NETWORK — all 11:30 a.m. Sun.  
Edmonton, Alta. — Channel 3.  
Whitecourt, Alta., Channel 12.  
Ashmont Alta., Channel 12.

\*CFCN NETWORK — all 2 p.m. Sun.  
Calgary, Alta. — Channel 4.  
Drumheller/Hand Hills, Alta. — Channel 12.  
Banff, Alta. — Channel 8.  
Brooks, Alta. — Channel 9.  
Kimberley, Alta. — Channel 3.  
Columbia Valley, Alta. — Channel 6.  
Jubilee Mt., Alta. — Channel 8.  
Lake Louise, Alta. — Channel 6.  
Lethbridge, Alta. — Channel 13.  
Drumheller, Alta. — Channel 10.

\* Asterisk indicates new station or time change.

tude, interests, is reached. The mind becomes more "set" in its ways.

The years between ages 16 and 25 are the *vitally important* years of adult preparation for life's work. These are the *crucial* years of *PREPARATION*. During these years the mind is capable of *acquiring* faster than at any other stage of life the advanced knowledge needed *before beginning* one's adult career — whether it be business, profession, occupation, or marriage. Before age 16 the mind has not acquired the basic elementary knowledge needed as a foundation for entering more advanced study — and the mind has not developed in serious comprehension to the level of advanced knowledge. After age 25, the mind which has stagnated since age 16 finds it difficult to enter upon more mature study.

Before age 16 the mind simply is not mature. At age 16 it is merely prepared

to *begin* acquiring the more mature *preparation* for either career, business, or marriage.

It should be borne in mind I am speaking of average ages. There are, of course, exceptions to all rules — but in my experience about 99 in 100 follow this pattern.

Another stage of maturity seems to be reached at about age 30. I have noticed that, although most young people reach a certain mental maturity at age 25, a far more complete maturity of mind, personality, performance, and influence on others is reached at age 30.

By age 30 the man or woman has added five years of practical *experience*, in addition to further study, to the preparatory knowledge and final reaching of mental maturity attained at 25. Prior to 25, the young man is often called just that — "young man" — by older men. I can remember how, in my carnal preconversion vanity, I smarted under being spoken to as "young man" by business executives I dealt with. This expression simply meant they did not accept me, yet, as a fully mature man, and I knew it.

Somehow, the vanity in a young man of 18 and older makes him want to be considered *mature* — as a completely adult, fully experienced MAN. He wants to be considered older than he is. But as soon as young women are past 20 to 25, female vanity usually causes them to want to be considered *younger* than they are!

### The Right Age for Marriage

The fact that man attains a more complete maturity of personality, leadership and influence by age 30 seems fully recognized by the Eternal God. In ancient Israel the Levites were ordained to full priesthood at age 30 — although they were put into physical *service* at age 20.

Jesus Christ, our Example, did not begin His active ministry until age 30. All years prior to that were years of learning and preparation.

Yet in ancient Israel men *began* actual service, adult work, and even military service, at age 20. This, however, does not mean that they were fully and completely educated at that age. Actually, their first years of service were



those of apprenticeship — training, preparation. They probably were not accounted fully prepared for adult responsibilities until 25, though the exact facts are not given.

Apparently God has not given specific and direct instruction or command as to the proper age for marriage. God did not even count people in the census, as adults, until age 20. While there appears to be no punishable prohibition against marriage prior to age 20, there is every indication that on God's instruction juveniles were considered children until 20. At 20 they were considered "of age." *This by no means implies they were expected to marry by age 20!* Rather that they were expected not to marry until at least 20 — OR MORE!

Based on actual experience, my judgment — and I think it is sound judgment guided and approved by God — is that until out of the "teens" a boy or girl is too young to marry! And it is also my judgment — and I think it is sound and approved by God — based on life-long experience counseling on marriage problems of hundreds of people — that even 20 is too young to be *the best age for marriage*.

Two factors are the major causes of broken marriages, or of unhappy problem marriages, in the hundreds of cases that have come to me for advice and counsel: sex ignorance and marriage prior to age 20. Quite often these two are merged in the same case. A majority of all unhappy or broken marriages that have been brought to my attention were those of people *who married too young!*

Only too well I know that teen-agers who *think* they are in love will not listen or heed. That very *FACT proves* they are too young for the responsibilities of marriage. Marriage is so much *more* than romance, necking, love-making and immature emotional bliss. Thousands of young people have gone ahead heedless, and been sadly disillusioned to learn that lesson — TOO LATE!

But in my judgment, except in rare cases or circumstances, even twenty is too early an age for marriage. I can only give my judgment. But it is based on experience. It is based on facts and knowledge. It is based on what Biblical revelation God has given us. It is

based on hundreds of case histories.

But here it is, and young people will do well to heed it — and later be glad they did! The *best* age for a man to marry is around 24 to 26, after he has devoted those *top aptitude years* between 16 and 25 for mature education, experience, and preparation — *after* he has acquired the knowledge, preparation and preliminary experience to assume adult responsibilities — *after he is able to assume the responsibility of supporting a wife — and family!* And the *best* age for a girl to marry is between 23 and 25, when she has utilized those top aptitude years for preparation, and is prepared to assume the *duties* of wifehood and motherhood — the responsibilities of planning, decorating, arranging a home, keeping it, and being a help and inspiration to her husband.

I sincerely believe, in view of what God has developed, that He brought

about circumstances and influences to shape my early life, and also my wife's as a preparation for a very great worldwide work He willed to accomplish. I believe I was steered and guided by His unseen Hand in ways I did not realize then. And Mrs. Armstrong and I were married when we were both 25. We were mature enough to assume the responsibilities.

Our marriage was *happy*, and blest beyond words to describe. And, during all those years during which God blest us with four fine children, equally fine sons- and daughters-in-law, and eleven fine grandchildren, our marriage continued to be happy beyond words to describe. In fact it was happier after age 70 than ever before, because it had grown constantly more and more happy. WHAT A BLESSING!

Wouldn't you like yours to be equally so? Then heed! Use wisdom!

## Personal from the Editor

(Continued from page 1)

They don't have any broken marriages! They have no juvenile delinquents! They don't break into the non-Hutterite homes and steal. They are honest. They are sober. They don't believe in fun and play.

Try to learn from complainers how their "odd religion" harms neighbors, and you get this kind of answers!

The fact that I do not believe it necessary to live in a communal colony, that I do not choose to wear the same kind of hat the Hutterite men wear, and would not have wanted my wife to wear the "old fashioned" kind of clothes their women wear, or may not subscribe to some of their beliefs and customs, does not, in itself, do any injury to me.

The more I read of this feature story, the more it became evident that these persecuted people are a sober, hard-working, industrious, well-meaning, honest people. They are law abiding. They do no harm to their neighbors.

What is WRONG with them?

"We just don't approve of their odd beliefs," seems the only thing wrong.

It seems they don't have a right to choose their own religion. The neighbors say, in effect, "We think they ought to be denied to believe as they choose. They ought to be *forced* to let us, their neighbors, decide their religious beliefs. We think *we* ought to have the right to force OUR beliefs on them, even though ours may seem odd and ridiculous to *them!*"

Isn't it strange, that after 6,000 years of human experience, most humans are still groping in the dark about what is RIGHT, and what is WRONG? Look at all the conglomeration of different RELIGIOUS IDEAS in the world. Of course MOST people follow the religion of their country and their parents. They absorb and take for granted that which they have always seen, and what has been injected into their minds. What religious CONFUSION!

Would it not seem, that if we *have* a Maker, that our religion should involve our relationship with HIM, and that it should come from HIM?

But in true fact, none of these recognized, established religions of this mixed-up world came from our Creator. His religion mankind has rejected. Yet it is still made available to those who are willing.